

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/768,390	01/30/2004	Ronald King	2144.051USU	3804	
7590 07/24/2006			EXAMINER		
Charles N.J. Ruggiero, Esq. Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P. 10th Floor One Landmark Square Stamford, CT 06901-2682			LAWRENCE JR, FRANK M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1724		
			DATE MAILED: 07/24/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\sim	_				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_				
Office A. (*)	10/768,390	KING ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_				
	Frank M. Lawrence	1724					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vorable and the second of t	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON.	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. JED (35 U.S.C. & 133)					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ju	ıne 2006.						
<u> </u>							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar							
closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>21 and 23-26</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) 22 and 27-30 is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-5,9-19,31 and 32</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-8 and 20</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 30 January 2004 is/are:		ed to by the Everniner					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		-					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	-						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 25 H.C.C. \$ 440/	-) (d) (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	phonty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		tion No					
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •						
application from the International Bureau		ved in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	red.					
	200000						
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar						
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)						

Application/Control Number: 10/768,390 Page 2

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Claims 1-20, 22 and 27-32 in the reply filed on June 23, 2006 is acknowledged.

Drawings

2. The drawings appear to be informal. Applicant is invited to submit new drawings during the prosecution so that the current drawings are not published. This is not a requirement because the drawings have been approved by the office of initial patent examination.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 1 and 22 are objected to because of the following informalities: In line 15 of claim 1, the period "." should be changed to a comma "," so that is forms a complete sentence.

Appropriate correction is required. In line 16 of claim 22, it appears that "is" should be inserted after "vessel".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-5, 9-19 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Conrad et al. (6,156,102).
- 6. Conrad et al. '102 teach a method for recovering water from air, comprising contacting humid air with 40% lithium chloride solution in a contactor (12) to produce water-rich solution,

Application/Control Number: 10/768,390 Page 3

Art Unit: 1724

heating the water-rich solution in an evaporator/condenser vessel using waste heat recovered from condensation to evaporate water from solution, condensing the evaporated water, and recovering the condensed water in a tank (figure 1, col. 5, lines 1-10, col. 7, lines 18-46). Heat energy produced by the condensation is transferred to a heat collecting flow (106) that effects vaporization when it is recirculated (figure 3a, col. 11, line 10 to col. 12, line 43). Vacuum pumps (116) are used to maintain a subatmospheric pressure in the evaporator/condenser vessel (col. 11, lines 20-37).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Conrad et al. '102.
- 9. Conrad et al. '102 disclose all of the limitations of the claims except that the LiCl solution is supersaturated. Absent a proper showing of criticality or unexpected results, the LiCl concentration is considered to be a parameter that would have been routinely optimized by one skilled in the art in order to produce a desired level of water extraction.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 6-8 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/768,390 Page 4

Art Unit: 1724

11. Claims 22 and 27-30 are allowed.

12. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art of record fails to disclose or suggest the embodiment of claim 22 wherein the absorber is rotatably mounted and positioned relative to the atmospheric air flow direction in response to a measurement, or the method of claim 27 wherein the second droplet size has a greater diameter than the largest diameter fine size first droplets.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The additional references listed on the attached PTO-892 form disclose water recovery systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank M. Lawrence whose telephone number is 571-272-1161. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/768,390

Art Unit: 1724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Frank M. Lawrence Primary Examiner

Frank Faurence 7-19-06

Page 5

Art Unit 1724

fl